

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/788,759	02/27/2004	Derek A. Oxley	CE10438W	8479	
23330 7590 07/25/2008 MOTOROLA, INC.			EXAMINER		
LAW DEPARTMENT			YOUNG, JANELLE N		
1303 E. ALGO SCHAUMBU	ONQUIN ROAD RG. II. 60196		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2618		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/25/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/788,759	OXLEY ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Janelle N. Young	2618		

	Janelle N. Young	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 18 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	g date of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.			
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
		man and the same and a second				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>						
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further coincide to the first the results of new matter (see NOTE below) (b) They are not deemed to place the application in better.	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);				
appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: 1-51. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116	21 See attached Notice of Non Co.	mpliant Amandment /	DTOL 224)			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ripliant Amendment (- I OL-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-51</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Nay A. Maung/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2618	/Janelle N. Young/ Examiner, Art Unit 2618					

Continuation of 13. Other. Claims 1, 7, 14, 26, 44, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter "establishing a group call between the first user and the group after the group is validated and the first user receives the group identification" which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, bossession of the claimed invention. The speciation only discloses the network validates the dynamic group call" and "member is validated" there is no mention of the group validated.

Keating et al. teaches method for dynamic group call from a first user to a group of second users via a network includied steps of. The DAP receiving an explicit page response from each of the participating mobile stations included in the dynamic group that provides the DAP with specific mobile station information thus verifying membership in the dynamic group as well as geographical location information in a form, such as the mobile station and becausion, which enables the DAP to not only validate the mobile stations as members of the dynamic group but also allows for proper scheduling or resources to support the group call and enables the billing accumulator to generate billing records for each of the participating mobile stations once the group call is terminated based on validation of the mobile stations by the DAP, which reads on claimed limitation forwarding the group to the network for validation (Fig. 1; Page 3, Para 0027; and Page 4, Para 0030 of Keating et al.); the DAP would then receive private mobile stations informing mobile stations in the dynamic group of mobile stations informing mobile stations in the dynamic group of mobile stations informing mobile stations in the dynamic group of the identify of all participants in the wireless group call; which reads on claimed limitation receiving a group identification for the group (Page 3, Para 0024 of Keating et al.); and establishing a group call between the first user and the group after the group is validated and the first user receives the group identification (Abstract; Fig. 2; Page 1, Para 0011; and Page 2, Para 0020 of Keating et al.); and Ckeating et al.)

Ahya et al teaches the selection of a validity period may occur before or after selecting temporary talk group membership; which reads on claimed establishing a group call between the first user and the group after the group is validated and the first user receives the group identification (Abstract Col. 2, lines 11-18 and Col. 3, line 59- Col. 4, line 3 of Ahva et al.).